EXHIBIT S

In The Matter Of:

ASARCO, LLC v.
NL INDUSTRIES, INC.

BROWN, FRANKLIN, 30(b)(6) - Vol. 1 November 8, 2013

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

20750 Ventura Boulevard Suite 205 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Phone: 818.593.2300 Fax: 818.593.2301

| EASTERN D | STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MISSOURI TERN DIVISION |
|------------------------------|--|
| ASARCO, LLC, PLAINTIFF, |) CASE NO. 4:11-CV-00864 JAR) |
| Vs. |) 30(b)(6)) VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION) OF FRANKLIN BROWN |
| NL INDUSTRIES, INC., ET AL., |) |
| DEFENDANTS. |) |

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF FRANKLIN BROWN, taken before Mary Lou Harmon, RPR, CRR, CSR(IA), CCR, General Notary Public within and for the State of Nebraska, beginning at 9:00 a.m., on the 8th day of November 2013, at Cassem, Tierney, Adams, Gotch & Douglas, Suite 302, 9290 West Dodge Road, Omaha, Nebraska.

```
1
                      APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
     MR. GREGORY EVANS
 3
     INTEGER LAW CORPORATION
     633 West Fifth Street
     Floor 67
     Los Angeles, CA 90071
 5
     (213)892-4488 FAX(213)627-2579
     gevans@integerlegal.com
 6
     FOR DEFENDANT UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY:
 7
     MS. CAROLYN L. MCINTOSH
     PATTON BOGGS, LLP
     1801 California Street
 8
     Suite 4900
 9
     Denver, CO 80202
     (303)894-6127 FAX(303)894-9239
10
     cmcintosh@pattonboggs.com
     MR. NORTON A. COLVIN, JR.
11
     COLVIN, CHANEY, SAENZ & RODRIGUEZ, LLP
12
     1201 East Van Buren Street
     P.O. Box 2155
13
     Brownsville, TX 78522
     (956) 542-7441 FAX (956) 541-2170
14
     na.colvin@rcclaw.com
15
     FOR THE DEFENDANT ANSCHUTZ MINING CORPORATION:
     MR. WINSTON E. CALVERT
                                        (via telephone)
16
     ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP
     7700 Forsyth Boulevard
17
     Suite 1800
     St. Louis, MO 63105
     (314)259-4752 FAX(314)552-4883
18
     wcalvert@armstrongteasdale.com
19
     FOR THE DEFENDANT NL INDUSTRIES:
20
     MR. JOEL L. HERZ
                                         (via telephone)
     LAW OFFICES OF JOEL L. HERZ
     3573 E. Sunrise Drive
21
     Suite 215
22
     Tucson, AZ 85718
     (520)529-8080
23
     joel@joelherz.com
2.4
     Also Present: Mr. John Powers (via telephone)
25
                   Mr. Jay Rollins - Videographer
```

| 1 | I N D E X |
|----|--|
| 2 | PAGE CAPTION1 |
| 3 | APPEARANCES |
| 4 | TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS |
| 5 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 6 | BY MR. EVANS:11 |
| 7 | EXHIBITS: MARKED |
| 8 | EXHIBIT A. Objection to Notice9 |
| 9 | Exhibit 26. Notice21 |
| 10 | EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY MARKED: IDENTIFIED |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Exhibit 1.UP's Answer to Complaint70 |
| | Exhibit 2.Chronological History71 |
| 13 | Exhibit 3.Union Pacific in Missouri78 |
| 14 | Exhibit 4.NewFields' Report |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Exhibit 5.Photograph107 |
| 17 | Exhibit 6.Photographs109 |
| 18 | Exhibit 7. Various Articles111 |
| | Exhibit 8.Railway Age Gazette Article112 |
| 19 | Exhibit 9. Public Service Commission141 |
| 20 | Exhibit 10.State Geologist Report161 |
| 21 | Exhibit 11.Maps166 |
| 22 | Exhibit 13.Letter Dated 11/30/00176 |
| 23 | Exhibit 14.Agreement and Covenant |
| 24 | Exhibit 15.Photographs130 |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | Exhibit 16.Letter Dated 12/5/03177 |
|----|------------------------------------|
| 2 | Exhibit 17.Map182 |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

- 1 BY MR. EVANS:
- 2 Q. Did you know at the time that you were
- 3 roadmaster that ore concentrate had high levels of lead
- 4 in it?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew at that time that the company
- 7 was -- company was operating open hopper cars with lead
- 8 concentrate in it?
- 9 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 10 is vague.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. EVANS:
- 13 Q. How fast did those trains go?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 15 is vague.
- 16 THE WITNESS: They run probably 50 miles
- 17 an hour.
- 18 BY MR. EVANS:
- 19 O. Uncovered?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Describe lead ore concentrate.
- 22 A. Well, it's a real fine material that, you
- 23 know, is loaded into hopper cars.
- Q. Do you know how many tons of ore concentrate
- 25 Union Pacific or the predecessor railroads transported

- 1 A. Yes. We had to block it, because we'd do
- 2 things that make it unsafe to run trains, so...
- 3 Q. Did you ever perform maintenance on the
- 4 mainlines without obtaining a block such that rail
- 5 traffic could go through?
- 6 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Beyond the
- 7 scope of the topics.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No, not really, no.
- 9 BY MR. EVANS:
- 10 Q. And when I say "block," I'm referring to a red
- 11 block?
- 12 A. I know what you're talking about.
- Q. Did you ever observe any derailments on the
- 14 railroad?
- When I say "railroad," I'm referring to UP and
- 16 all the predecessor companies, okay?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever observe any derailments in the
- 19 southeast mining area?
- 20 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection to the extent
- 21 that you've incorporated all the predecessors, it calls
- 22 for a legal conclusion. It's beyond the scope of the
- 23 topics.
- 24 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q. Go ahead, sir.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What kind of derailments did you observe?
- 3 A. Well, most generally derailment of cars, you
- 4 know, that it's either derailed off the track or turned
- 5 over or whatever, you know.
- 6 Q. Did you ever observe derailments where cars
- 7 actually turned over?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you ever observe derailments in the
- 10 southeast mining area where cars turned over and
- 11 materials from those cars spilled out?
- 12 A. I've had materials spilled out, yes.
- Q. What was the main material that was hauled in
- 14 the southeast mining area?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 16 is vague.
- 17 BY MR. EVANS:
- 18 Q. In your experience on the railroad?
- 19 A. Most of -- most of the material was iron ore
- 20 or lime or concentrate.
- 21 Q. Lead ore?
- 22 A. Lead ore.
- Q. Does Union Pacific today have active lines in
- 24 Missouri?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Beyond the

- 1 scope of the topics.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 3 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q. What are those lines called, do you know?
- 5 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 6 is vague.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Well, we still got the
- 8 mainlines that runs through a lot of places. We got
- 9 sidings and branch lines.
- 10 BY MR. EVANS:
- 11 Q. Branch lines. Let's talk about branch lines.
- Do you have -- does Union Pacific have branch
- 13 lines running today in SEMO?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you know what company operated those branch
- 16 lines before Union Pacific?
- 17 A. Missouri Illinois operated most of them.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 1 previously
- marked in prior depositions.)
- 20 BY MR. EVANS:
- 21 Q. I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 1.
- Have you ever seen that document before?
- 23 This is Union Pacific's answer to ASARCO's
- 24 second amended complaint.
- 25 A. I don't know as I did. No, I don't think so.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did any of the railroads that you worked for
- 3 operate in that county?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Which railroad?
- 6 A. Well, it was originally the Missouri Illinois
- 7 Railroad operated in it, and eventually Missouri Pacific
- 8 merged with them.
- 9 Q. And eventually the Missouri Pacific merged
- 10 with Union Pacific?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Calls for a
- 12 legal conclusion.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. EVANS:
- 15 Q. Let me show you a statement then on Page 3 of
- 16 Exhibit 4 regarding railroad construction.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Do you agree that the railroad in this area,
- 19 St. Francois County, that the railroad grade was
- 20 constructed using the cut-and-fill method?
- Do you agree with that statement?
- 22 A. I don't know -- I don't know -- I don't know
- 23 that, because I wasn't there when it was constructed.
- 24 Q. Do you agree with the statement that the final
- 25 cover consisted of 12 to 18 inches of chat, as indicated

- 1 on Page 3 of Exhibit 4?
- 2 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 3 is vaque.
- 4 THE WITNESS: It was -- the final 12 to
- 5 18 inches was constructed of ballast, yes.
- 6 BY MR. EVANS:
- 7 Q. You agree with the statement that the chat
- 8 provided a granular, easily handled, well-drained
- 9 aggregate for bedding the wooden cross ties?
- Do you agree with that statement?
- MS. MCINTOSH: I object that the question
- is vague, and that the document speaks for itself.
- 13 THE WITNESS: The chat -- the chat that
- is referred to was -- it was a good bedding at the time,
- 15 but it was not -- later on years it was not. Chat was
- 16 easy to walk on was really the only good thing that it
- 17 was ever used for.
- 18 BY MR. EVANS:
- 19 Q. Do you agree with the statement on Page 3 of
- 20 Exhibit 4 concerning the railroad construction in
- 21 St. François County that besides side slopes, relatively
- 22 thin chat ballast was observed in flat cut sections
- 23 through hills and where the grade was constructed on
- 24 existing topography?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question

- 1 is vague; compound.
- 2 BY MR. EVANS:
- 3 Q. Does Union Pacific agree with that statement?
- 4 A. On that railroad chat was used on most all of
- 5 it prior to our merging with them.
- 6 Q. When you say "most all of it," what are you
- 7 referring to?
- 8 A. Most all of the Missouri Illinois Railroad
- 9 chat was used on it.
- 10 Q. When you say before we merged with them, what
- 11 company?
- 12 A. Before Union -- before Missouri Pacific merged
- 13 with them.
- 14 Q. Do you agree with the statement on Page 3 of
- 15 Exhibit 4 that fill was used across low-lying areas at
- 16 stream crossings, and where it was necessary to
- 17 construct ramps to crest a divide?
- Do you agree with that statement on behalf of
- 19 Union Pacific?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 21 is vague and compound. The document speaks for itself.
- THE WITNESS: As I said a while ago, I
- 23 don't know what all the fill was built out of before I
- 24 took it over.

25

- 1 BY MR. EVANS:
- 2 Q. Do you know whether the fill was built out of
- 3 mining waste?
- 4 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 5 Q. In fact, you don't know what all the fill was
- 6 made of?
- 7 A. Not all down through it, no, I don't.
- Q. Do you agree with the statement at Page 3 at
- 9 Exhibit 4 that chat was used almost exclusively where
- 10 large quantities of fill were needed to meet grade
- 11 requirements?
- Do you agree with that statement?
- 13 A. There was a lot of it used, I know that, yes.
- Q. A lot of it used where, sir?
- A. Well, there was a lot of it used as fill
- 16 material and as ballast too on the Missouri Illinois
- 17 Railroad.
- Q. When the Missouri owned those railroads, do
- 19 you know where that chat came from?
- 20 A. No, because it was built before my time.
- 21 Q. Did the Missouri Pacific acquire the
- 22 Mississippi River and Bonne Terre Railroad?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Calls for a
- 24 legal conclusion.
- 25 THE WITNESS: That was -- yes, that was

- 1 you didn't understand?
- 2 A. Ask it again.
- 3 Q. Do you know what Union Pacific constructed --
- 4 Union Pacific predecessor railroads used to construct
- 5 the railroads next to water that you testified about?
- 6 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 7 is vague, it's beyond the scope of the topics. It also
- 8 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know -- I don't
- 10 know all the way to the bottom of the railroad, no, what
- 11 it was constructed out of.
- 12 BY MR. EVANS:
- 13 Q. All right. Thank you. And you weren't there
- 14 when they were constructed; right?
- 15 A. That's right, I wasn't there.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any of the
- 17 materials that were used to construct the railroads fell
- into any of the water that you've described, the rivers
- or the streams or the -- off the bridges?
- 20 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 21 is vague and compound.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sure that there is
- 23 some that may have washed into it, you know, during the
- 24 rains, heavy rains and --

25

- 1 BY MR. EVANS:
- 2 Q. Floods?
- 3 A. Floods, what have you, yes.
- 4 Q. What rivers are you talking about where that
- 5 material you're sure washed into?
- 6 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 7 mischaracterized Mr. Brown's testimony, and was vague.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Mostly -- mostly we run
- 9 along the Mississippi River, we run along Bay River, we
- 10 run along Black River, and several creeks.
- 11 BY MR. EVANS:
- 12 Q. Which might include Turkey Creek?
- 13 A. Could.
- 14 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Calls for
- 15 speculation.
- THE WITNESS: Could be.
- 17 BY MR. EVANS:
- 18 Q. In terms of the maintenance-of-way, one of the
- 19 reasons that you need to -- was one of the reasons that
- 20 you needed to bring in fill was because the material
- 21 that was on these railroads would erode; is that right?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question
- 23 is vaque.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Some erosion. More so --
- 25 more so washouts and stuff like that.

- 1 BY MR. EVANS:
- 2 Q. What's a washout?
- 3 A. It's -- a washout is whenever you get real
- 4 heavy, heavy rains and floods, it washes the ballast, to
- 5 dump everything out from under the track.
- 6 (Exhibit No. 15 previously
- 7 marked in prior depositions.)
- 8 BY MR. EVANS:
- 9 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as
- 10 Exhibit 15, Mr. Brown.
- 11 Can you tell, looking at Exhibit 15, including
- 12 the color photograph that might give you a better view,
- 13 what -- whether there is railroad track on top of that
- 14 hill?
- 15 A. I do see -- I do see some rail and ties on top
- 16 of there, yes.
- 17 Q. And when you talk about washout -- excuse me.
- 18 When you talk about erosion, do you see that gap
- 19 underneath the railroad and railroad ties depicted on
- 20 kind of the right-hand corner of Exhibit 15?
- 21 MS. MCINTOSH: I object to the form of
- 22 the question. It's vague and compound.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I see it.
- 24 BY MR. EVANS:
- 25 Q. Does that look like erosion to you based on

- 1 your experience on the Union Pacific?
- MS. MCINTOSH: I object that the question
- 3 is vaque.
- 4 THE WITNESS: It could be erosion, or it
- 5 could be a washout.
- 6 BY MR. EVANS:
- 7 Q. Thank you. But that's what, in your
- 8 railroading experience, a washout or erosion looks like?
- 9 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection: Calls for
- 10 speculation, and the question is vague.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q. Can I ask you, please, to circle the area on
- 14 Exhibit 15 on the color photo that you've been referring
- 15 to as an area that illustrates what a railroad washout
- 16 or erosion looks like?
- MS. MCINTOSH: I object to the form of
- 18 the question.
- THE WITNESS: [Complies.]
- 20 BY MR. EVANS:
- 21 Q. Can you put your initials next to the circle
- on the bottom, please?
- 23 A. [Complies.]
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Brown.
- Did you become familiar with any of the

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | I, Mary Lou Harmon, a Certified Shorthand |
| 3 | Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the |
| 4 | foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the |
| 5 | truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the |
| 6 | within-entitled cause; |
| 7 | That said deposition was taken down in |
| 8 | shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and |
| 9 | place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said |
| 10 | witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by |
| 11 | computer, under my direction and supervision; |
| 12 | That before completion of the deposition, |
| 13 | review of the transcript was requested. Any changes |
| 14 | made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) |
| 15 | during the period allowed are appended hereto. |
| 16 | I further certify that I am not of counsel or |
| 17 | attorney for either or any of the parties to the said |
| 18 | deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of |
| 19 | this cause, and that I am not related to any of the |
| 20 | parties thereto. |
| 21 | DATED: November 13, 2013 |
| 22 | MADY TOU HADMON DDD CDD |
| 23 | MARY LOU HARMON, RPR, CRR CSR NO. 0112 |
| 24 | My commission expires: |
| 25 | |
| | |

Merrill Corporation - Los Angeles www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-826-0277